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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The surgeries over the proximal end of femur is common procedure in orthopaedics. Knowledge of proximal femur’s morphometry can be helpful in reducing the risk of complications linked to surgeries done in this region due to vascular, metabolic or traumatic causes. The present study is therefore conducted to provide data on the morphometric values of proximal femur and to customize the implant design to suit the Telangana population and thereby reducing the complications.

Objectives: 1. To study the various measurements of proximal end of femur 2. To compare the results with previous studies.

Materials and Methods: A total of 180 dry femur have been collected from Department of Anatomy, Mamata Medical College. With the aid of the vernier calliper, goniometer and osteometric board, measurements such as femoral length, transverse diameter of the head, anterior neck length and angle of the neck shaft were measured.

Results: The average length of the left femur was 43.33 ± 2.72 cm and 42.95 ± 3.29 cm of the right femur. The anterior neck length of the right femur was 2.69 ± 0.41 cm, and left femur was 2.61 ± 0.34 cm. The neck shaft angle of left femur was 120.3° ± 5.26 and right femur was 119.92° ± 6.27.

Conclusion: Relative to other populations, this study showed the measurements of proximal femur in Telangana population were different. The results of this study can be significant in anthropological and medico-legal practice as well as for the diagnosis and treatment planning of radiologists and orthopaedic surgeons.
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INTRODUCTION

The femur or thigh bone is the human body’s longest and strongest bone. It has proximal end, shaft and distal end. The proximal end comprises of head, neck, greater and lesser trochanters [1]. The head of the femur articulates with the acetabulum of hip bone to form the ball and socket variety of synovial hip joint [2]. Femoral neck is a cylindrical strut of bone which links the head to the shaft of the femur and is about 5 cm in length. The neck of femur has functionally modified due to the erect posture of humans [3].
The angle created by the longitudinal axis of the neck with the longitudinal axis of the shaft of femur bone is termed as neck shaft or collo-diaphysial angle. The neck shaft angle ranges from $115^\circ$ to $140^\circ$, and an average of $126^\circ$ in adults. When the angle $>135^\circ$, condition is known as coxa valga. When angle $<120^\circ$ called as coxa vara. The collodiaphysial angle decreases with aging [4]. This angle of neck shaft allows the limb to swing clear of the pelvis during movements at the hip joint [5]. It is highest in infants decreases gradually with age [6], and the angle is greater in males than females [7].

The knowledge of morphometry of proximal end of femur is important because it varies with different ethnicity and individuals. It is quite normal to have proximal femur fractures, including neck and trochanters. For the early recovery and rehabilitation of patients, internal fixation of these fractures using implants is essential [8]. The implants used for surgical treatment of femoral fractures including dynamic hip screws, cancellous screws, blade and plates are designed according to the measurements of proximal femur. These implants are exclusively designed based on the western measurements [9].

The present study therefore aims to evaluate the morphometry of proximal end of femur of Telangana population and to compare it with similar studies. It may also be useful for biomechanics in designing and creating implants for the local population.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This is an observational, descriptive study on 180 dry adult femora which were randomly obtained from the skeletal collection of the Department of Anatomy, Mamata Medical College. The intact, dried, and non-pathological femurs were included for the present study. Femur with any fracture, un-ossified or pathological abnormalities like tumours, deformities were excluded from this study.

After obtaining Institutional Ethical committee clearance, following parameters were taken with the aid of anthropological instruments like osteometric board, goniometer and vernier calliper.

**The femoral length:** Is the distance from the highest point of the femoral head to the lowest point of the medial condyle measured using the osteometric board. (Fig 1)

**The anterior neck length:** is the distance between the base of head & intertrochanteric line at the junction of the neck with the shaft. It is measured with the help of vernier calliper. (Fig 2)

**The transverse diameter of head of femur:** is the maximum antero-posterior distance of head of femur, which is measured with the help of vernier calliper.

**The femoral neck shaft angle:** is the angle made by the axis of the neck with the axis of the shaft. The axis of the neck & axis of the shaft were measured respectively as the line joining the two centre points on anterior surface of neck & the line joining the two centre points on anterior surface of shaft. It is measured with the help of goniometer.

Fig. 1: Femur length measurement using osteometric board.

Fig. 2: Anterior neck length measurement using Vernier calliper.
All the measurements for right and left femur were recorded separately. The data was recorded in MS Excel sheet and analysed using SPSS software v 20 for mean ± SD. Independent t-test was used to calculate the differences in the parameters of right and left femur. The p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

This study was performed on 180 fully ossified, dried femur bones. The average femoral length for entire femur was 43.15 ± 2.98 cm, femoral head diameter was 4.09 ± 0.35 cm, anterior femoral neck length was 2.64 ± 0.37 cm, and femur neck shaft angle was 120.13° ± 5.72. Table 1 summarizes the mean, range and standard deviation (SD) of different parameters of right and left femur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femur length</td>
<td>43.15</td>
<td>42.95 – 43.33</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>3.93 – 4.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur head diameter</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>3.8 – 4.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.0 – 4.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur neck length</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.61 – 2.7</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.52 – 2.7</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck shaft angle</td>
<td>120.13°</td>
<td>119.92 – 120.3</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>120.3</td>
<td>119.92 – 120.3</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Anthropometry provides various techniques and scientific approaches for taking number of measurements in the different races and geographical regions. Several studies on morphometry of femur have been performed in various locations. Several authors used different materials and techniques such as cadaveric specimens, dry bones, plain radiographs, Computed Tomography (CT) scans and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scans to study the different parameters of femur.

In total hip arthroplasty, the design and dimensions of femoral component should match the dimensions of proximal femur [10]. If the implant is inappropriate, hip dislocation and fractures of the implant are quite common [11]. Mean value of maximum femur length on left side was higher than on the right side. Comparison of femur length by various studies is tabulated in Table 2.

Some commonly described measurements that are associated with an increased risk of fracture include a longer hip axis, femur length, a greater neck shaft angle and a larger width of femoral neck. In the present study, mean anterior neck length on right side was 2.69 ± 0.41 cm and the left side was 2.61 ± 0.34 cm, which is less than study of Ravichandran et al [9] and Sundar et al [4].

It is quite common to have proximal femur fractures, including neck and trochanters. Internal fixation with implants for these fractures are important for rehabilitation and early mobilization of the patients. The transverse head diameter of right femur was 4.06 ± 0.36 cm and the left femur was 4.11 ± 0.33 cm which is greater than the Nidugala et al values and smaller than Rajeev et al and Cihan et al studies. Several previous studies on adult femur have been conducted in various nations like Pakistan, Brazil, Nepal, Malaysia and China [14,15].

The collo-diaphyseal angle is a significant factor particularly in operations involving the dynamic hip screw and dynamic condylar screw. The average neck shaft angle of right femur was 119.92° ± 6.27 and left femur was 120.3° ± 5.26. Table 3 shows the comparison of neck shaft angle of various authors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl no</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Mean NSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Toogood et al [14]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>129.23°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ravichandran et al [9]</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>126.55°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Davivongs et al [16]</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>127.60°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Da Silva et al [15]</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>122.55°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bada and Endo et al [17]</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>125.60°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>120.13°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implants were mostly produced by European and American manufacturers to replace the diseased hip joint, probably using the morphometric features of their respective population. These implants come in various sizes to match their population. Nevertheless, local surgeons have less selection of size available to them due to the relatively small built size of our population.
CONCLUSION

In total hip replacement, proximal femur morphology is an essential parameter for the design and development of implants. The present study made an effort to build data on various dimensions of proximal end femur among Telangana population. We would like to emphasize the importance of proximal end of femur morphology, especially the collo-diaphysial angle, head diameter and length of the femoral neck that differ from other populations.

We assume that the obtained results will help in surgical interventions of proximal femur and arthroplasty procedures. It enlightens the biomechanical engineer to prepare implant design according to measurements of the local population. There is no statistically significance difference between right and left femur measurements. The knowledge of morphometry of proximal end of femur will be useful in anthropological and medico-legal practice, as well as to orthopaedicians for diagnosis and treatment of disease related to hip and femur.

Conflicts of Interests: None

REFERENCES


How to cite this article: