A study of greater palatine foramen and its importance in the application of maxillary nerve block in south Indian population
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The greater palatine foramen (GPF) is located in the posterior part of the hard palate between the articulations of hard palate with the maxilla. GPF continues posteriorly and upwards as greater palatine canal (GPC) which opens in to the inferior wall of pterygopalatine fossa. Exiting the foramen rotundum, maxillary nerve enters the pterygopalatine fossa where it can be blocked. The intraoral route of GPF approach can be preferred for maxillary nerve block because of its low incidence of complications and high success rate. For achieving a painless intra operative period in procedures involving the maxillary region and for tooth extraction achieving a perfect maxillary nerve block is of at most importance. Our present study is aimed at correctly locating the position of GPF, its shape and patency in south Indian population which will be helpful for the intra oral approach of maxillary nerve block through the GPF.

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted in 30 dry adult skull bones of both sex. The molar relation, shape and the patency of the GPF were observed, the readings were obtained, statistically analyzed and compared with other studies.

Results: In our observation on both side of skull, it was noted that GPF was located opposite to the 3rd molar in 90% of cases. Oval shaped GPF is most commonly found in our study which accounts to 53.35% and the overall patency rate for GPF was 95% in our study.

Conclusion: Analysis and comparison of data has proven that the position, shape and patency of GPF may vary according to different races. This study has also confirmed that the maxillary nerve block via GPF is relatively safe and easier due to the consistancy of the position, shape and the patency of the GPF in south Indian population.

KEY WORDS: Greater palatine foramen, maxillary nerve block, regional blocks, Greater palatine canal, pterygopalatine fossa, Maxillary nerve.
pterygopalatine fossa as it exits the middle cranial fossa through the foramen rotundum [1]. The maxillary nerve can be blocked as it exit the foramen rotundum [2].

For achieving a painless intra operative period in procedures involving the maxillary region and for tooth extraction achieving a perfect maxillary nerve block is of at most importance. The maxillary nerve block can be performed through intra oral and extra oral routes [3]. The administration of local anesthetic through intra oral route can be done by two techniques, one around tuberosity, by high tuberosity technique and other through GPF [4].

The GPC approach through GPF is performed by injecting local anesthetic in the PPF to block maxillary nerve [5]. Through the intraoral route, the GPF approach can be preferred for maxillary nerve block because of its low incidence of complications like hematoma or over insertion of needle which are common in high tuberosity approach [6] and high success rate if performed after acquiring a proper anatomical knowledge of GPF and GPC [7]. This approach and the procedure remains an excellent method in achieving anesthesia in the maxilla during surgeries [2] or in diagnostic or therapeutic procedures in neuralgias like trigeminal neuralgia [6]. Regional anesthesia to hemi maxilla including teeth, mouth and parts of the nose is achieved via maxillary nerve block [5]. Maxillary nerve block achieves complete anesthesia of hemimaxilla with minimal needle penetration and less volume of anesthetic solution compared to alternative which involves at least four injections given together [6].

**Greater Palatine canal approach:** Place the needle next to the upper second molar over the palate while the patient keep the mouth wide open. Inject small quantity of anesthetic fluid once the needle reaches the hard palate. Once local anesthetic take effect in 5 minutes, reinsert the needle and advance through the greater palatine foramen in to the canal and inject the anesthetic fluid and gradually withdraw the needle [8].

The location of GPF is variable depending on the race of an individual. Our current study is aimed at correctly locating the position of greater palatine foramen which will be helpful for the intra oral approach of maxillary nerve block through the greater palatine foramen.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted in the Department of Anatomy of Sri Ramachandra Medical College and Research Institute, Sri Ramachandra University, Chennai. The study was conducted in 30 dry adult skull bones of both sex without any signs of erosions or any gross distortion due to any pathology. All the skulls included in the study were of adults as evidenced by the eruption of third molars. The molar relation, shape and the patency of the GPF were observed. The molar relation and shape of the foramen were recorded using simple observation by two investigators, the patency of the foramen is recorded using a 25 gauge orthodontic wire as probe (figure-1). The readings were obtained and statistically analyzed.

**Fig. 1:** Showing the procedure of Probing of GPF.

**Fig. 2:** Showing the procedure of Molar relation of GPF.

**Recording of molar relation of GPF (figure-2):** Depending on the relation of GPF to the
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molar tooth of upper jaw of the same side, it was classified to be in three different positions
1. Opposite to the 2nd molar
2. Opposite to the 3rd molar
3. Distal to the 3rd molar
In our observation on both side of skull, it was noted that GPF was located opposite to the 3rd molar in 90% of cases (86.7% on the right and 93.3% on the left), opposite to 2nd molar in only 3.3% of cases (3.3% on the right and 3.3% on the left) and distal to the 3rd molar in 6.65% of cases (10% on the right and 3.3% on the left). The number wise distribution is shown in table -1.

**Recording shape of GPF:** Out of 30 skulls (60 sides) 53.35% (56.7% of right and 50% of left) showed oval foramen, while 33.3% (33.3% of right and 33.3% of left) showed round foramen and 13.35% was slit type (10% of right and 16.7% of left). The number wise distribution is shown in table 1.

**Table 1:** Molar relation, Shape and Patency of GPF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Molar relation of GPF</th>
<th>Shape of GPF</th>
<th>Patency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opposite to 2nd molar</td>
<td>Opposite to 3rd molar</td>
<td>Distal to 3rd molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right side</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left side</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recording patency of GPF:** Patency of left GPF was 93.3% and right GPF was 96.7%. Overall patency rate was 95%. Out of 30 skulls 1 of the right foramen and 2 left foramina were not patent. The number wise distribution is shown in table -1.

**DISCUSSION**

**Molar relation:** In our study concluded in the south Indian population skulls, majority of GPF occurs opposite to the 3rd molar. This finding is in accordance with the studies conducted by Saralaya et al [9], Ajmani [10], Hassanali et al [11] and Westmoreland et al [12] as shown in table 2.

**Table 2:** Comparison of molar relation with other studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Opposite to 2nd molar</th>
<th>Opposite to 3rd molar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saralaya et al (2007)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>74.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajmani (1994)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>64.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al (1988)</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>33.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westmoreland et al (1982)</td>
<td>East Indians</td>
<td>9.70%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>South Indian</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Shape of GPF:** In most of the studies conducted bymetherathip et al [7], Cheung et al [13] and Langenegger et al [14] the predominant type of shape of GPF is oval type, which is similar to our present study (55.35%). In our study slit type is observed in 13.3% of skull GPF, this type of foramen may present with difficulty in negotiating the GPC through the GPF during maxillary nerve block procedure.

**Patency of GPF:** The overall patency is 95% in the present study (96.7% on the right and 93.3% on the left) which is comparable to the study conducted in Caucasians by Malamed et al [15] which is 97.55%. Thus before attempting to give a maxillary nerve block through GPF, it may be kept in mind that around 5% of the GPF may be blind in south Indian population.

**CONCLUSION**

Maxillary nerve block is used mainly for the treatment procedures involving the surgeries of upper jaw, in cases where local blocks cannot be administered because of infection or abscess, surgeries of extensive trauma or cancer involving maxilla, congenital cleft palate repair, for post-operative pain relief following maxillary surgeries etc [2]. Maxillary nerve block via GPF and GPC can be safely and successfully administered by knowing the proper anatomical location shape and the incidence of patency of the foramen. This study has once again proven that the position, shape and patency of GPF may vary according to different races. This study has also confirmed that the maxillary nerve block via GPF is relatively safe and easier due to the consistency of the position, shape and the patency of the GPF in south Indian population.
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