Diffusion Weighted Imaging and Grading of Brain Tumours

Manah Chandra Changmai 1a,b, Mohammed Faruque Reza 2, Kastury Gohain 3.

*1aLecturer, Centre for Anatomy and Human Identification, University of Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom, b Universiti Sains, Malaysia.
2 Senior Lecturer, Department of Neuroscience, School of Medicine, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia.
3 Lecturer, School of Business, University of Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom.

ABSTRACT

**Background:** Most prevalent primary cerebral tumours are meningiomas. The other frequent intracranial tumours are pituitary adenomas, which are benign, and gliomas, which are intra-axial brain tumours. The objective of this study is to understand the importance of DW MRI imaging with standard b-value in differentiating presurgical grading of the brain tumour.

**Design:** A total of 24 DWI patients, including 12 meningiomas, 8 gliomas, and 4 pituitary adenomas, were included in this retrospective analysis.

**Method:** The Stejskal-Tanner equation is used to analyse the ADC mean, ADC min, and ADC max values from the healthy and tumour core that are obtained out from area of interest (ROI).

**Result:** The ADC mean value of Gliomas ranges from 0.09 x 10^-3 mm^2/s to 0.99 x 10^-3 mm^2/s with a median value of 0.25 x 10^-3 mm^2/s. ADC mean value 1.82 x 10^-3 mm^2/s (sensitivity: 67%. Specificity: 81.8%) and 0.94 x 10^-3 mm^2/s (sensitivity: 75%. specificity: 81.3%) can discriminate grade II –IV meningioma from grade II-IV glioma.

**Conclusion:** The ADC and its threshold levels offer crucial details on the grades, consistency, and characterization of tumour, aiding accurate diagnosis and therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

The meningiomas are the most common primary intracranial tumours and represents 36% of central nervous system (CNS) tumour [1]. The gliomas are intra-axial brain tumour located frequently in the supratentorial region and accounts for 3.4% of all intracranial tumours [2]. The pituitary adenomas are mainly benign slow growing tumour arising from the pituitary gland. They are intensifying accompanies with disorder of hormones [3]. These tumours are frequently identified by histopathological examination. However, an improper selection of area for biopsy sample from brain tumours leads to error in microscopic diagnosis. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the noninvasive procedure suitable in resolving, management and forecasting prognosis of brain tumours. The Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) is a
technique in magnetic resonance imaging which has bought distinctive change in imaging. It catches small motions of water molecules augmenting expression of intrinsic directionality in the brain [4]. Our body spaces contain water which provides a considerable element in body weight.

The diffusion of water molecules in tissues follows a definite pattern that captures the physiology by and reflects the difference in rate of diffusion in between tissues. The DWI is advised to trace the distinction between a benign and malignant brain tumour. Diffusion imaging predicated on ADC has indeed been tested for tumour assessment throughout the past two decades [5,6]. It has been proposed that analyzing the ADC of the lesion data could be of predictive as well as diagnostic significance [7,8]. Furthermore, preoperative diffusion values appear to be useful for differentiating radiation-induced brain injury from advanced disease and prognostic of clinical response to radiotherapy [8-11]. The Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is the quantification of proportions of water molecules in the tissue analysed with DWI. The malignant tumour exhibit lower ADC value compared to benign tumours [12]. The values of ADC lower than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$ considered to be a malignant tumour [13]. However, some benign tumours demonstrate low ADC values and identified as malignant tumour. The value of ADC is considerably inversely proportional to cellularity of the brain tumour [14]. An improper selection of area for biopsy sample leads to error in microscopic diagnosis. The ADC values are utilized as a guide to forecast the outcome of the treatment in malignant tumours. It is necessary to identify the grade of the brain tumour and its expression possibilities with the aid of diffusion weighted imaging (DWI). The objective of this study is to analyze the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value in distinguishing three common tumours that includes Meningioma, Gliomas and Pituitary adenomas.

**Aim:** The aim of this study is to understand the importance of DW MRI imaging with standard b-value in differentiating presurgical grading of the brain tumour.

**METHOD**

**Study Design:** It is a retrospective investigation of ADC values of three tumours. The cases of meningioma and glioblastoma were identified to persuade the research. A patient age limit of 5 years to 70 years was chosen comprising both genders and different races of Malaysia. A total of 33 patients (n=33) were selected for the study. These patients were diagnosed with brain tumour. Out of these 33 patients, 12 (n=12) meningiomas, 8 (n=8) glioma and 4 (n=4) pituitary adenoma patients underwent DWI. Patients with head injury, demyelinating diseases and meningitis were excluded from the study. The patient’s data was collected from their case file (ARCHIVE) from Department of Neuroscience and the images of DWI were recovered from a public University hospital in Malaysia’s picture archiving and communication system (PACS).

**DWI images:** The MRI of the patients were performed in Radiology in a public university hospital in Malaysia with Philips ACHEVA 3.0 Tesla MRI machine. A spin echo pulse sequence of [TR/TE] of 3433/93.8, flip angle 90° and 5mm slice thickness was stipulated in an axial plane. In DWI, magnitude of diffusion gradient (b value) of $b = 0$ s/mm$^2$ and b-value of 1000 s/mm$^2$ is applied in X, Y and Z axis maintaining a space of 6ms separating two slices.

**Image Evaluation:** A skilled radiologist and a well-trained radiographer retrospectively evaluated the DWI images A region of interest (ROI) were placed in the tumour core and another ROI was placed on the contralateral healthy area of the cerebral hemisphere to determine the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) which is estimated with Stejskal-Tanner equation (15). ADC = $-(1/b)\ln(S/S_0)$ where $S_0$ is intensity of the signal with gradient factor $b=0$; $S$ is intensity of the signal with gradient factor $b=1000$ mm$^2$/s; $1n$ is natural algorithm and $b$ in $1/b$ is 1000; The Values of ADC were expressed were illustrated with $10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s

**Statistical evaluation:** The analysis of the data was carried out with SPSS version 23. The accumulated information of ADC values was estimated by descriptive analysis. Box plot and
Fig. 1: A 56-year-old female with features of Grade I meningioma A) DWI at b₀ showing a hyperintense mass in the left anterior parasagittal region B) DWI at b₁₀₀₀ showing hypointense mass in left frontal region.

Fig. 2: A 48-year-old male with features of Grade I Glioma A) DWI at b₀ showing a isointense to hyperintense mass in the right posterior parietal lobe B) DWI at b₁₀₀₀ showing hypointense mass in right posterior parietal lobe.

Fig. 3: A 46-year-old female with features of Grade I pituitary adenoma A) DWI at b₀ showing a hyperintense mass in the suprasellar region B) DWI at b₁₀₀₀ showing hypointense mass in the suprasellar region.

ROC curve analysis was performed to compare the tumour core ADC values of meningioma, glioma, and pituitary adenoma. The 2-tailed t test values of ADC of Meningiomas, Gliomas and Pituitary adenomas. The recorded variability was considered statistically significant if P<0.05. Normalized ADC (NADC) was calculated in every case as a ratio ADC_{mean} meningioma/ADC_{mean} white matter.

RESULTS

Meningioma: The ADC_{mean} value of meningioma ranges from $0.15 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$ to $2.55 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$. Meningioma grade I has an ADC_{mean} value of $0.79 \pm 0.76 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$, while meningioma grades II to IV have an ADC_{mean} value of $0.16 \pm 0.50 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$. In high grade compared to grade I meningioma, the ADC_{mean} is lower. Meningioma grade I has an ADC_{min} value of $0.72 \pm 0.86 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$, and meningioma grades II through IV have an ADC_{min} value of $0.15 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$. Meningioma grade I has an ADC_{max} value of $0.61 \pm 0.67 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$, and meningioma grades II through IV have an ADC_{max} value of $0.17 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$. Meningioma grade I has a
ADC adenomas are recognized. The value of its Pituitary adenoma:
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grades II through IV have a value of \(0.14 \pm 0.05\) \(x = 10\). Meningioma grade I has a NADC value of 0.61 ± 0.28 \(x = 10\). The ADC value for gliomas in grades I through IV is 0.50 ± 0.56 \(x = 10\). Glioma, the ADC for gliomas in grades I through IV is 0.50 ± 0.84 \(x = 10\).
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The ADC mean value of Meningioma G1 is 0.79 ± 0.76 \(x = 10\). Grade II-IV has a value of 0.16 ± 0.50 \(x = 10\). Grade I and Grade II-IV have a value of 0.40 ± 0.32 \(x = 10\) and 0.20 ± 0.14 \(x = 10\) respectively. The ADC mean value for grades I-IV glioma is 0.40 ± 0.32 \(x = 10\) and 0.20 ± 0.14 \(x = 10\) respectively. In high grade compared to grade I glioma, the ADC mean is lower. The ADC mean value for gliomas in grades I through IV is 0.50 ± 0.56 \(x = 10\) and 0.13 ± 0.12 \(x = 10\) respectively. The ADC max value for gliomas in grades I through IV is 0.33 ± 0.18 \(x = 10\) and 0.28 ± 0.24 \(x = 10\) respectively. Meningioma grade I has a NADC value of 0.19 ± 0.15 \(x = 10\) and meningioma grades II through IV have a value of 0.14 ± 0.05 \(x = 10\).

**Pituitary adenoma:** The ADC mean value of Pituitary adenoma ranges from 0.60 \(x = 10\) mm\(^3\)s\(^{-1}\) to 2.77 \(x = 10\) mm\(^3\)s\(^{-1}\) with a median value of 0.19 \(x = 10\) mm\(^3\)s\(^{-1}\). Grade I pituitary adenomas are recognized. The value of its ADC mean is 0.74 ± 0.33 \(x = 10\).

The tumours ADCmin mean value is 0.82 ± 1.53 \(x = 10\). These tumours ADCmax value is calculated to be 0.51 ± 0.84 \(x = 10\). The tumor’s NADC value is 0.57 ± 1.03 \(x = 10\).

According to Table I, the ADC mean, ADC min, ADC max, and NADC values were higher in Grade I meningioma compared to Grade I pituitary adenomas. Grade II-IV meningiomas have lower values than Grade II-IV gliomas.

Only ADC mean for Grade I meningioma from the ROC curve analysis were statistically significant, despite the fact that there were some statistically significant disparities across the grades.

**DISCUSSION**

DWI is crucial for imaging brain tumour, particularly for finding their grade. This MRI modality is also essential to determine the outcome of the management of these tumours. There are few investigations on meningiomas, gliomas and pituitary adenomas on quality of their diffusion and differentiation between their grades in accordance with DWI and ADC values [16]. ADC offers critical extra information beyond what MRI can. This study has
demonstrated that there are differences in ADC grades between meningioma, glioblastoma, and pituitary adenoma, but not enough to differentiate between the different primary cancer types [17,18].

This could be because of the ADC expressing more universal traits like cellular proliferation. ADC levels are often less in primary brain tumours with more cellular proliferation in contrast to normal brain parenchyma [19,20]. In present study the ADC mean of grade I meningioma is slightly higher than glioblastoma. In a study in 39 meningioma patients, the ADC mean was higher in lower grade compared to the higher grade. However, when compared to benign lesions, atypical and malignant meningiomas exhibits lower ADC values [21,22]. ADC mean is less relevant to tumour cellularity than ADC min [23]. ADC mean value may be used to identify cancers with a high differentiation activity using the specified threshold. Many explanations, including greater tumour cellularity, tumour pattern, fibrous or gliotic tissues, or a combination of these characteristics, have been put up to explain the lower ADC in high-grade tumours [24]. Analyses of ADC values would make a big difference in the identification and differential diagnosis. Main benefit include not requiring contrast material and quick and easy imaging capture within seconds [25]. When employing a typical b-value DW-MRI, a minimum ADC threshold of 1.070 x 10^-3 mm^2/s gave 79.7% sensitivity and 60.0% specificity is pertinent for identifying high-grade gliomas[26]. The results of this study indicated an ADC min threshold of 0.74 x 10^-3 mm^2/s (sensitivity: 75%). Specificity: 86.4%) and 0.87 x 10^-3 mm^2/s (sensitivity:76%. specificity:75%) to discriminate grade II – IV meningioma from grade II-IV glioma. Additionally, ADC mean value 1.82 x 10^-3 mm^2/s (sensitivity: 67%. Specificity: 81.8%) and 0.94 x 10^-3 mm^2/s (sensitivity:75%. specificity:81.3%) to discriminate grade II – IV meningioma from grade II-IV glioma. A similar study with standard b-value ADC images and a histogram estimation of the whole tumour volume was conducted to distinguish different glioma grading. According to their findings, grades II, III, and IV gliomas had distinct ADCmin values for standard b-value scans. They also found significant difference of ADC mean for standard b-value between Grade II-IV tumour (27). The present study has shown a significant difference in ADC mean that can discriminate Grade II-IV meningioma from grade II-IV glioma. The minimal level ADC value from b = 1000 DW-MRI inside that solid tumour region was substantially lower in high-grade (III and IV) gliomas than low-grade (II) gliomas at 3T, and a cutoff value of 0.90 X 10^-3 mm^2/s was recommended to distinguish high and low-grade gliomas with 85% specificity and 71% specificity. The ADC max is also higher in Grade I meningioma than Grade I pituitary adenoma. The ADC min has been thoroughly investigated for glioma grading with 1.5T, high-grade (III and IV) and low-grade (I and II) tumours could be distinguished with 95% sensitivity and 80.6% specificity using a threshold of 1.48 for the lowest ADC within the tumour adjusted with the contralateral side collected using a standard b-value [28].

Strong tissue mechanisms and substantial water flow across capillaries hinder the tumor’s definition. The ADC values are impacted by myelin, which has a stronger effect on the diffusing pattern. Despite the fact that various factors influence ADC values in brain tissues [29]. As an indicator for tumour cellularity, DWI is useful to discriminate between benign and malignant tumours by evaluating the ADC. It is also used to investigate heterogeneity [30].

The box plot Figure (A) depicts that NADC decreased from 0.25 units in Meningioma grade I to about 0.10 units. There is no significant increase for Glioma grade I – grade IV but potential increase is observed for Pituitary adenoma grade1 which ranged more than 2.0 units. There also appears to be major decrease in median NADC for Pituitary adenoma grade1 compared to Meningioma grade I- grade IV, and Glioma grade I – grade IV which maintained consistent spread out. However, there is one outlier with high NADC in Glioma grade I – grade IV. This demonstrates that NADC values are significantly varies between Meningiomas, Gliomas and pituitary adenomas. In Figure (B) the boxplot exhibits
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that ADC mean for Meningioma grade I – grade IV and Glioma grade I- grade IV having same median unit. Consequently, Pituitary adenoma grade I showing low median unit. There is one high ADC mean for Glioma grade I-Grade IV whereas there are no extreme indicators for the rest of the tumours. In Figure (C) Similarly, for ADC min Pituitary adenoma grade I has significant rise of patients compared to Meningioma and Glioma. There are high outliers for both Meningioma grade I and Glioma grade I- grade IV while the same spread for Meningioma grade I – grade IV and Glioma grade I – grade IV is noticed. Pituitary adenoma grade I specify uneven distribution with median lying at the bottom of the box.

This study has few limitations. The patient group is wide and there are only a limited number of patients. The use of ROI analysis to examine the performance of ADC derived parameters in tumor tissue areas for tumor grading was another limitation. Other limitation is that it is a retrospective study. The study only included one centre and had a smaller batch size of brain tumours. It is advised to do more research using a larger tumour collection and a multicenter strategy.

CONCLUSION
The study revealed numerous correlations between various DWI data. The Grade I meningioma had statistically significant higher ADC mean than Grade I glioma. Overall, the findings of the present investigation point to the possibility that the ADC parameters obtained from conventional b-value DWI MRI
can be used to predict the grade of a tumour. The effectiveness of b-value of DW-MRI in the pre-operative screening of brain tumours needs to be confirmed in future investigations that should include more comparable patient populations.
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